



Clean Air Technology for Biomass Combustion Systems

## **BioCAT – Clean air technology for small-scale combustion systems**

Gabriel REICHERT, Marius WÖHLER, Manuel SCHWABL, <u>Christoph SCHMIDL</u>, Stefan AIGENBAUER, Hans BACHMAIER, Franz FIGL, Hans HARTMANN, Walter HASLINGER, Jens KIRCHHOF, Harald STRESSLER, Rita STURMLECHNER, Peter TUROWSKI, Bernhard VOGLAUER

Central-European Biomass Conference January 2014, Graz, Austria







### Objectives

- Concept and Approach
- Methods

### Results

- Catalytic material characterisation
- Catalyst system outside the stove
- Primary optimisation of stoves
- Catalyst system integrated in optimised stoves
- DemoCAT Catalyst technology demonstrator
- Conclusions and Outlook







## **Project objectives**

# New generation of biomass based room heating appliances



Optimising primary combustion conditions



Integration of a honeycomb type oxidation catalyst



## STAFFIERI AG 🖸





## bioenergy2020+



Technologie- und Förderzentrum







## The BioCAT project approach

Characterise the catalyst technology

Develop methods to evaluate the project outcomes

Primary optimise combustion systems and integrate catalysts

Evaluate and demonstrate the project outcomes









## Method I: Characterisation of catalytic material

## Test Setup:

- Synthetic flue gas:
  - CO, CH<sub>4</sub>, C<sub>7</sub>H<sub>8</sub>
- Pre-heating and mixing zone
- Flue gas measurement upand downstream of catalyst
- Connection to stove for TSP loading
- Results:
  - Basic catalytic performance characteristics







measuring section 2



# Method II: Characterisation of catalyst (standalone/retrofit)

measuring section 1

Combustion

unit

- Principle:
- Test Setup:
  - Wood chip burner for flue gas "production"
  - Boiler with by-pass for flue gas temperature control
  - Fuel (water content) and burner settings for flue gas composition control
- Results:
  - Actual and mean reduction of CO, OGC and TSP



catalytic

converter





Measuring section

00

330 mm



# Method III: Characterisation of Stove-integrated catalysts

- Principle:
- Test setup:
  - EN13240/13229
  - Test Fuels: Beech and Spruce
  - Tests with uncoated Carrier (Dummy, n=6) and with Catalyst (n=6) for each stove

Combustion

unit



Integrated

catalytic

converter

## Results:

- Mean Reduction of CO, OGC and TSP
- Significant Reduction at defined Level of Confidence







## **Results: Catalytic material characterisation**

## Characteristic conversion temperatures for:

- Carbon Monoxide
- Methane
- Toluene
- Influence on conversion:
  - Residual Oxygen
  - Water Content
  - Volume Flow
  - CO Load
- Burn-off temperatures of TSP loaded on catalyst surface







SEVENTH FRAMEW







Results: Combustion system development Combustion optimisation by primary measures

- Avoidance of leakages (air tightness)
- Adapting air supply volume
- Implementing air staging
- Optimisation of pane ventilation

- Insulation of combustion chamber
- Implementation of post
   combustion chamber
- Energy management of firebed

Stove	А	В	С	D
Initial stoves – Optimized stoves - Test fuel spruce				
СО	- 74 %	- 63 %	- 56 %	- 44 %
OGC	- 72 %	- 40 %	- 78 %	- 47 %
TSP	n.a.	n.a.	- 38 %	- 40 %
η	+ 59 %	+7%	+ 34 %	±0%







#### **Results: Combustion system development** Primary combustion optimisation



- Extension of optimum (low emission) phase
- Still need for improvement in start and burn-out phase







#### **Results: Integration of Catalyst** Positioning according to measured Operation Characteristics



Sufficient high temperature

Accessible for maintenance





Measuring section



#### Results: Evaluation integrated Catalyst Reduction of Catalyst vs. Dummy

 
 Reduction in %\*
 Mean
 Significant (85% confid.)

 CO
 74-97
 64-77

 OGC
 32-73
 0-32

 TSP
 0-59
 0-21

 \* Test fuel: Spruce
 Spruce
 Spruce





catalytic

Combustion

















Slide 15





## **Summary and Conclusions**

- Primary optimisation of firewood stoves has shown high potential
  - Reduction ~ 40-80% for CO, OGC, TSP
  - Significant increase of efficiency
- Catalysts can further reduce emissions especially in start and burn-out phase
  - 80-90% CO, 40-70% OGC, 0-50% TSP
- Integration has important advantages compared to retrofit solutions
  - Higher temperatures
  - Primary effects of catalyst are considered
- Catalyst integrated prototypes perform
   close to pellet stoves in terms of emissions







## Outlook

## Long-term testing of catalyst integrated stoves

- Deactivation of catalyst
  - Reactivation measures (washing)
  - Time interval for replacement
- Influence of different use patterns
  - Safety aspects (blogging of catalysts in case of maloperation?)
- BioCAT Demonstrator 2.0
  - Operated with firewood
  - Including TSP measurement section







## Acknowledgements

## **Company Partners:**





## STAFFIERI AG



## Scientific and supporting Partners:





## Funding:

The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Union Seventh Framework Program (FP7/2007-2013) under Grant Agreement n° 286978.







### **BioCAT** Clean Air Technology for Biomass Combustion Systems

# Thank you very much for your attention Christoph Schmidl Christoph.schmidl@bioenergy2020.eu







**Supplemental Material** 





# Measurement section catalyst evaluation





SEVENTH FRAMEWORK PROGRAMME



## Catalyst characterisation

Influence analysis on conversion rate









#### **Results: Combustion system development** Temperature profiles of optimised stoves

- Temperature Profiles of potential integration positions:
- Basis for the integration of catalysts



